

SOCIO-5: Local Economic Impacts of Forests

[Karen Lee Abt](#), Susan A. Winter, and Robert J. Huggett, Jr.

Southern Research Station, USDA Forest Service; Inventory and Monitoring Institute, USDA Forest Service; and The Department of Economics, North Carolina State University respectively

What role do forests play in employment and local economies in the South?

1 Key Findings

- The overall southern economy has grown since 1969, with total jobs increasing by an average of 2.6 percent per year since 1969. Manufacturing jobs increased by only 0.8 percent per year and agricultural jobs by only 0.1 percent per year. Poverty and unemployment have decreased in the South, but are still higher than in the United States as a whole.
- In 1997, timber and agriculture along with subsequent processing, directly contributed approximately 6 percent of jobs and gross regional product (GRP) in the South. Wood products sectors contributed 1.93 percent of jobs and agriculture sectors contributed 4.27 percent of jobs. Wood products accounted for 2.31 percent of GRP, and agriculture 3.54 percent.
- The U.S. wood products industry continues to concentrate in the South, which has 39.3 percent of U.S. wood product jobs. Both lumber/wood products and pulp/paper concentration increased, while the furniture sector concentration decreased. The percentages of State-level jobs and income in wood products have generally declined since 1969. Actual numbers of jobs have remained fairly constant.
- Tourism-related industries are increasing in the South, but are not becoming more concentrated in the South. The percentage of State-level jobs and income in the tourism-related sectors is increasing in all 13 States, as are the actual numbers of jobs and amount of income.
- In 1997, wood products sectors contributed 5.5 percent of southern jobs. Public lands represented 8.5 percent of this contribution.
- In 1997, outdoor-recreation-based tourism contributed between 0.64 and 2.88 percent of southern jobs and between 0.51 and 2.51 percent of gross regional product. Public lands represented approximately 56 percent of this contribution.
- National forests contributed 1.7 percent of the value of timber harvested, and an estimated 17 percent of outdoor recreation-based tourism in 1997. The USDA Forest Service contributed more than \$330 million to the Southern economy for management of the national forests, research and development, State and private forestry, and payments to States.

- National Forests in the Southern Region are the second most heavily used of the nine USDA Forest Service regions with visits of 1.9 per acre, reflecting the scarcity of public land for outdoor recreation in this region.
- Fourteen southern counties have high concentrations of wood products employment and high percentages of land managed by the USDA Forest Service.

2 Introduction

2.1 Economic growth, diversity and dependency

The economy of the South has grown in proportion to the growth in population and in concert with changes in the national economy. From a primarily agrarian economy in 1850, the South became a center for U. S. manufacturing. More recent growth has focused on the service and technology sectors, increasing the diversity of the southern economy. Through all these developments, the South's forests have provided raw materials for wood products industries as well as beauty and recreational opportunities for an increasingly wealthy population.

The South remains largely rural, with higher poverty and lower income than more urbanized regions (Ghelfi 2001, Gibbs 2001, Cook and Mizer 1994). Some areas are still highly dependent on a single industry, including timber, lumber, furniture and pulp and paper. The rural areas are still part of the "old economy" based on manufacturing and resource extraction (Gale and McGranahan, Gibbs 2001). Recent growth in southern rural areas was led by industrial machinery and equipment manufacturing, followed by food and then wood processing (Gale and McGranahan). Dependency, and in particular dependency on resources and manufacturing, is often cited as a contributor to lower economic well-being, but recent studies have found that southern rural counties with greater than 20 percent of employment in manufacturing are better off than counties with less than 20 percent (McGranahan). Increasing diversity in local economies, and reducing dependency, is often a goal of public policy (Wagner and Deller 1998).

Recent forest assessments in the South include two sub-regional assessments completed for the two mountain regions, the Southern Appalachians (Southern Appalachian Man and the Biosphere 1996) and the Ozark-Ouachita Highlands (USDA Forest Service 1999). The most recent southwide assessment was The South's Fourth Forest (USDA Forest Service 1988), which covered essentially the same region as the current Assessment, but focused nearly exclusively on the wood products sectors. The two subregional assessments concluded that wood products were important, but not dominant, and that populations and income were increasing, leading to increased demand for recreational services. Manufacturing and farming were still significant aspects of local economies, but were declining in importance. The South's Fourth Forest noted that "timber is usually considered the most important [sector] in economic terms" (p. 10). The national forests were reported to have contributed over \$124 million to the local economy but accounted for only 6 percent of regional forestland.

After the Civil War, manufacturing, and in particular wood products, emerged as dominant

southern industries. As incomes increased after World War II, the amenity and recreational values of forestland became more important. Recreation and timber are the primary forest-based economic sectors today, and this chapter focuses on the roles of these two sectors in the southern economy. Wood products industries include timber production on both public and private land and the subsequent harvesting and processing into wood, furniture, or paper products. Recreation and tourism in forests includes camping, hiking, sightseeing, hunting, fishing, biking, and other activities. The economic impacts of these activities are measured in terms of the expenditure by each person for each day of activity.

2.2 Conceptual model

A study of the sustainability of southern forests requires an understanding of the interactions between local communities and the forests around them. Forests influence the economy of a community, State or region both directly and indirectly. Direct influences of forests include providing raw materials for use in production (timber and forage), as well as providing locations for numerous outdoor activities such as recreation, fishing and hunting.

Indirect influences include contributions to environmental services such as carbon storage, shading, water filtration, and erosion control. Indirect effects may also include the amenity value of the forested landscape to non-users, thereby encouraging migration and development (Nord and Cromartie 1997, Cromartie 2001). Several recent studies have shown the importance of amenities, and the recreation/tourism that derives from them, as ‘drivers’ of the economy, leading to economic growth (Deller and others 2001, English and others 2000, Beale and Johnson 1998, Marcouiller 1998).

The ownership of forestland provides income to landowners as a return to capital through harvesting, or through selling the land, or possibly through hunting leases. In this Chapter, we primarily address the effects on jobs and income from direct influences. To capture some of the indirect influences, we address the overall economy, including size, make-up, poverty, migration and unemployment. [Chapter SOCIO-6](#) (recreation) and [Chapter SOCIO-7](#) (quality of life) address other aspects of the relationship between communities and forests.

3 Methods

The Assessment region consists of the 13 Southern States, covering 583 million acres with a 2000 population of 91,776,331. The region represents 24 percent of U.S. area and nearly 33 percent of U.S. population. Division of the region into subregions is important for understanding and displaying the data. States were chosen and are used in the remainder of this Chapter, because State laws and policies influence overall and sector-specific economic growth.

Methods include time trends, means, correlation coefficients, average annual percent change, and an input-output model, IMPLAN. With the exception of the IMPLAN model, techniques can be found in any basic statistics textbook.

IMPLAN was developed to analyze impacts of forest plan alternatives on the national forests. It is currently maintained by the Minnesota Implan Group in Stillwater, MN (1997). The model evaluates the effects of a change in demand for a good or service, taking into account imports to and exports from a region, local production efficiency, and spending by households. For this analysis, supply and demand were pooled to estimate trade. This method assumes that local purchases of a commodity are purchased from local suppliers, to the extent possible, with excess purchases imported from outside the region. Supply/demand pooling results in larger multipliers than the alternative method (regional purchase coefficients). In our opinion, however, pooling yields are more representative of actual southern trade flows for the forest-based sectors. Because we are modeling the entire South, larger multipliers are of less concern than if we were modeling only a small subregion.

For the IMPLAN analysis, the nonforested portions of Texas and Oklahoma were excluded, and the remainder of the South was treated as one region. Analysis of one large region resulted in larger multipliers, and thus larger economic impacts, than would result if smaller regions were used. Multipliers for the wood products sectors were previously developed for each State by Aruna and others (1997), also using IMPLAN.

Input-output models are based on a description of the economy as an interrelated system of equations, where output of each commodity or service is the sum of demands from households (final demand) plus demands from all industries or services that use the commodity for further processing (intermediate demand). Inputs into production include labor (jobs and income), capital (inventories, property, and proprietor income), and cost of materials. The values of inputs of labor and capital sum to value added, and value added minus indirect business taxes is the value of gross industry production. When summed for a State, region or nation, this value is gross State, regional or national product, our most commonly used measure of general economic welfare.

Input-output models implicitly assume an economic- or export-based economy, and therefore that exports are the sole drivers of economic growth. However, there are several concerns with economic-base models, and the relationship between growth and economic well-being or quality of life is still unclear (Niemi and Whitelaw 1997). Input-output models do not provide a complete evaluation of the links between the economy and well-being. However, they do provide insights into one important dimension of this relationship -- the link between forests and jobs and income. Other aspects of well-being are addressed in [Chapter SOCIO-6](#) and [Chapter SOCIO-7](#).

Analyses of the effects of forests on local economies, particularly comparisons between wood products and recreation/tourism, are complicated by the determination of the actual user of the forest or forest product. The user of timber would be a logging contractor, who is the first, though not the last, user of the timber produced in the South. The user of forest-based recreation is the consumer, who is the end user of such services. Thus, the impact of timber includes the effect of growing and logging timber, and may also include subsequent processing by sawmills, pulpmills, other mills, and furniture manufacturers. The analyses presented below

allow the reader to assess the impacts through mill processing, or to stop at any earlier processing stage. Recreation impacts were developed for both resident and nonresident users, where residents were defined as those recreating within 50 miles of home.

4 Data Sources

The primary sources of data included county- and State-level estimates of jobs and income developed by the Regional Economic Information System (REIS) of the Bureau of Economic Analysis, U.S. Department of Commerce, and data from the Economic Census of 1997. This information was also used in the IMPLAN database for 1997, from which economic impacts were developed for this Chapter. Also used in the IMPLAN database were data from the Economic Census, the Bureau of Labor Statistics, and County Business Patterns. The Bureau of Labor Statistics provided the unemployment and wage data, and the Census Bureau was the source of the poverty information (Current Population Survey for 1999 and Decennial Census for other data).

Sectors examined included wood products and recreation/tourism. The wood products sector includes Standard Industrial Codes (SIC) 24 (Lumber and Wood Products), 25 (Furniture), and 26 (Pulp and Paper). Data from the Economic Census and IMPLAN for SIC 24, Lumber and Wood Products, were adjusted to exclude mobile homes, while data from REIS were not adjusted as this information was not available. Also included in the IMPLAN analyses were the Forest Products and Forestry sectors, the timber producing sectors. These are not included in the Economic Census or REIS data.

For the time series examination of the recreation/tourism sector (which we will subsequently refer to as the tourism-related sectors) we included SIC 58 (Eating and Drinking Places) and SIC 70 (Hotels and Lodging). In the impact analysis for 1997, we used three different methods to define the extent of the outdoor recreation or forest-based tourism sectors. These data derive from the National Survey on Recreation and the Environment (personal communication, Ken Cordell, Athens, GA), the Travel Industry Association of America (1999), and the Tourism and Travel Satellite Accounts (Kass and Okuba 2000).

5 Results

5.1 The Southern economy

5.1.1 Growth and change

As in the United States as a whole, the economy in the south has grown nearly continuously since World War II. Growth in jobs and income exceeded growth in population (2.6 percent per year versus 1.6 percent per year between 1969 and 1997). Manufacturing industries were a major driver of the southern economy during this period. The proportion of U.S. manufacturing jobs in the South increased from 23 percent in 1969 to 29 percent in 1998 ([Figure 1](#)).

Manufacturing wages and salaries rose from 19 to 27 percent of the national total in 30 years ([Figure 1](#)). Having 29 percent of the jobs, but only 27 percent of the salaries supports the notion that the South has a large, inexpensive labor force. Nevertheless, average hourly manufacturing wages have increased in all Southern States since the mid-1970s. In 1999, Louisiana had the highest average hourly wage (\$15.19), followed by Kentucky (\$14.26), and Oklahoma (\$12.69). The lowest average hourly wages were in South Carolina (\$10.67), Mississippi (\$11.18), and Arkansas (\$11.55).

[Figure 2](#) shows the average annual rate of job growth for the 10 major economic sectors in the South and United States between 1969 and 1998. In all sectors except agriculture, southern growth outpaced the national averages. Manufacturing jobs declined in the United States while they were increasing in the South, and agricultural jobs increased faster in the United States than in the South. These changes reflect a continuing shift away from agriculture to manufacturing and service sectors in the southern economy.

Between 1969 and 1999, wages increased faster than jobs for all States ([Figure 3](#)); the largest increases occurred in Florida, Texas, Georgia, and North Carolina. Smallest increases occurred in Alabama, Kentucky, Oklahoma, and Louisiana. Mississippi and Arkansas have the smallest State economies: Florida and Texas have the largest.

5.1.2 Poverty and unemployment

The nearly continuous growth in the southern economy has not benefited everyone equally. Some segments of the population still suffer from high unemployment rates, even while the overall rate is quite low. Similarly, there are groups and places with higher-than-average poverty rates in a region with poverty rates historically higher than the United States average.

Poverty rates in the South have declined by one-third over the past 30 years. The gap between the South and the country as a whole has narrowed, but the South still experiences a slightly higher rate ([Figure 4](#)). Between 1969 and 1999, the sharpest declines in poverty rates occurred in Mississippi (19.3 percent), Arkansas (13.1 percent), and South Carolina (12.2 percent). Texas had the lowest reduction (3.8 percent).

Data on poverty broken down by State, race, and gender are available from the Current Population Survey conducted by the Bureau of the Census. Note, however, that because of survey design, reliable estimates of poverty by gender and race are available only for the Census South Region, which includes West Virginia. Poverty rates in the South differ substantially by sex and race ([Figure 5](#)). Females have higher rates of poverty than males, and both black and Hispanic rates are more than twice the rate for white southerners. As a group, black females have the highest poverty rates.

The average unemployment rate for the South during the period 1978 to 1999 was 6.2 percent. Like poverty rates, unemployment rates differ across races. The average unemployment rate among black southerners between 1981 and 1998 was 12.1 percent, while that for whites was 5.2

percent. The South's annual unemployment rate of 4.1 percent in 1999 represents a 1.5 percent decrease from 1978. Florida and Virginia led this decline with decreases of 2.8 percent and 2.6 percent, respectively, but improvement occurred throughout the South. Three Southern States had unemployment rates of 5 percent or lower in 1978, compared to 11 States in 1999.

The sharp spikes in the unemployment rate in the early eighties and early nineties ([Figure 6](#)) roughly correspond to declines in growth of U.S. Gross Domestic Product. Alabama's unemployment rate was 14.4 percent in 1982, while Tennessee's reached 11.8 percent. During the period from 1978 to 1999, unemployment for the South peaked at 9.2 percent in 1983.

5.2 Forest-based sectors of the southern economy

5.2.1 Measuring contributions to the local economy

Forest-based sectors of the economy include timber production, wood processing industries, recreation and tourism deriving from forestland, and the contribution of the management of the national forests to the local economy. Jobs and income are the quantity and price measures, respectively, of a single input, labor, to the production or provision of a good or service. The production of lumber, for example, requires other inputs including timber, machinery and buildings, and energy. The provision of recreation requires inputs of labor, buildings, goods and services. Because the outputs are assumed to be produced efficiently, labor may be substituted for, or may substitute for, other inputs in the production process. Thus, examining jobs and income alone will not provide a complete picture of the contribution of forest-based sectors to the regional economy.

This analysis includes an evaluation of sector contributions to value added, total industry output, and gross regional product. Value added is the total income for a sector and includes wages and salaries, property income, and proprietor income. Wages and salaries are the largest component of income, and represent the total price of labor used in production. Southwide, wage and salary income comprise 58 percent of value added. Value added, less indirect business taxes, is referred to as gross industrial product, which when summed over a region represents gross regional product (GRP). GRP is at present the best overall measure of the size and state of the regional economy. GRP is comparable to Gross Domestic Product at the national level.

Extensive data are available on manufacturing industries, and on certain components of the service and retail trade sectors. These data allow us to formulate a picture of the contribution of forests to the economy over time. However, while the manufacturing data may pertain directly to timber production and processing, the recreation portion of the service and retail sectors is not clearly identified. In the time-series analysis below, we use hotels and lodging plus eating and drinking places to proxy for the tourism industry, referred to as tourism-related sectors. While this proxy may be suitable proxy for the size and concentration of the tourism sector, it is clearly different than the size and concentration of outdoor recreation or forest-based recreation. Much forest-based recreation involves camping, backpacking, hunting, or hiking, which may require neither local lodging nor restaurants. Further detail is developed in the following

assessment of direct and total impacts of outdoor- or forest-based tourism for 1997.

We used input-output methods for the analysis of economic linkages and the total contribution of the forest-based sectors to the economy for 1997. These methods capture the indirect and induced effects of forest-based economic activities, as well as both the backward and forward linkages in the economy. Direct impacts are jobs, wages and value added to a sector or lost from a sector in response to changes in final demand for that sector. Indirect impacts result when a producer buys inputs from other sectors within the region. Induced impacts are generated when an employee of a directly or indirectly impacted sector spends disposable income in the local economy. Backward linkages are impacts traced from any point in the production process back to the initial producer. For example, 2x4's purchased at a hardware store can be traced back to the tree farmer. Forward linkages, often referred to as downstream processing, represent subsequent processors of the commodity in question. For example, for timber, a forward linkage is the milling of logs into lumber.

5.3 Wood products sectors

5.3.1 Changes in wood products sectors over time

Between 1987 and 1997, the South's share of U.S. manufacturing jobs increased from 30.8 to 31.4 percent. At the same time, the South's share of wood products sector jobs increased from 36.5 to 39.3 percent ([Figure 7](#)). Southern jobs in both the lumber and wood products (SIC 24) and pulp and paper (SIC 26) sectors have increased faster than for all manufacturing, while the percentage of all furniture jobs in the South decreased between 1992 and 1997. This increase in the percentage of the industry located in the South is in contrast to the percentage of southern jobs in the wood products industry. More of U.S. production of wood products is occurring in the South, but wood products are a smaller percentage of southern jobs. The same is true of income and value added. The percentages of southern income and value added deriving from wood products have declined, while the percentages of U. S. wood products income and value added that are in the South have increased.

The 13 Southern States vary widely in the percentage of jobs that are in wood products sectors ([Figure 8](#)). Wood products sectors here include all of SIC 24, 25 and 26 (mobile homes were not excluded). For 1998, proportions ranged from 0.5 percent in Oklahoma to more than 5 percent in Mississippi. Trends for all States were generally downward, though the lowest point was in 1982, coincident with a low point for wood products output in the United States. These peaks and valleys are consistent with trends for the general U.S. economy.

The trends in percentage of income from wood products sectors are very similar to the trends in percentage of jobs ([Figure 9](#)). They are generally downward, but with wide variation among States. Note, however, that the percentage of income from wood products was nearly double the percentage of jobs. For example, in 1998, 8 percent of Mississippi income derived from wood products, while only 5 percent of jobs derived from wood products. This result implies that wood products jobs have higher than average wages. Note, too, that the 1982 percentage drop is

more dramatic for income than for jobs. This most likely represents a decline in hours of work per job.

The percentages of all southern jobs and income coming from wood products are declining. This decline does not necessarily imply that the industry is declining in importance. Output from the industry is rising, but the amount of labor used (and thus wages paid) per unit of output is smaller. The substitution between inputs in the production of lumber has been examined specifically for sawmills (Abt and others 1994). This study found that increases in labor productivity (3-4 percent per year) were higher than for other inputs, which may result from increased use of capital in production. Thus, less labor could be used to produce the same amount of lumber. In Georgia, for example, while wood products wages represented 15.7 percent of the value of wood products shipments in 1982, wages were only 13.2 percent of the value of shipments in 1997.

5.3.2 Impact analysis for 1997

In 1997 the wood products sectors contributed over 770,000 direct jobs to the southern economy, \$120 billion in total industry output, and over \$40 billion in gross regional product ([Table 1](#)). Table 1 also shows the aggregated sector subset we used to simplify the discussion below. The direct impacts are shown for private timber production, logging, sawmills, wood furniture, and pulp and paper. This table also includes the proportion of wood processing accounted for by each individual sector, as well as the direct employment, income, value added, total industry output, and GRP for each individual sector.

To calculate the indirect (what producers buy) and induced (what consumers buy) effects of the wood products industry, we used the IMPLAN input-output model to develop response coefficients, such as the number of jobs per million dollars of final demand. Response coefficients were also developed for public timber harvests by using the expenditures made by the national forests in the South to proxy for the production relationships of public timber producers. Public timber production coefficients were determined from the National Forest System accounting as reported for each forest at the USDA National Finance Center. Expenditures by the national forests were classified into a program area, and all of the timber classifications were bridged to IMPLAN sectors. This procedure results in expenditures in each sector for the production of national forest timber.

The response coefficients show the total impacts on the economy from each \$1 million increase in final demand for that industry's output. Special care was taken to eliminate double counting by eliminating local purchases between modeled sectors. A different set of response coefficients would be needed to measure the effect of, for example, adding a mill to a local economy and counting all backward linkages from the mill to logging to timber production.

[Table 2](#) shows the direct impacts of the five aggregated wood products sectors (not including the public timber sector, whose jobs and income are included in the government sectors of the input-output database). Also included in this table is the percentage of the southern economy in

timber production and wood processing as well as the percentage in agriculture, including both farming and food processing. Thus, timber production and subsequent wood processing (most of SIC 24, 25 and 26) directly constitute about 2 percent of the southern economy. More of total industry output (3.53 percent) than jobs (1.93 percent) derives from wood processing, implying that returns to capital are higher than average. Farming, the counterpart to timber production, is 3 percent of employment but only 1.7 percent of total industrial output. The contributions of farming are greater than those of the other major rural land use, timber, which constituted only 0.22 percent of jobs and 0.38 percent of total industrial output. The wood processing sectors are similar to the food processing sectors (SIC 20 and 21), which constitute a slightly larger percentage of the southern economy.

In 1997, public timber harvests had a value of \$478 million, \$96 million of which was from national forests, while private harvests had a value of \$5,138 million. These numbers do not include harvests from federal lands other than national forests. Tracking the forward-linkage (downstream processing) effects of both public and private harvests through the economy resulted in 2.2 million jobs and \$104.6 billion of GRP ([table 3](#)), amounting to approximately 5.5 percent of jobs and 6.0 percent of GRP in the South. Public timber harvests constituted 8.5 percent of the value of all timber harvests, with only 1.7 percent from national forests.

Although the national forests contribute only a small amount to the total harvest value in the South, in some communities and counties the national forests play a large role in the wood processing sector and in the local economy. The national forests spend more than \$76 million on the timber program in the South, approximately one third of the southern regional budget for 1996. This program is small, however, relative to the private harvests in the region. [Table 4](#) shows 14 southern counties where the National Forests manage more than 25 percent of the forestland and where the proportion of employment in wood products sectors is greater than 4 percent, approximately twice the southwide average. Also included in this table are the county level per-capita income, removal rate on all land, and removal rate on private land. In future analyses, particular attention should be given to these and surrounding counties in determining how changes in national forest harvest levels might influence local economic structure and well-being.

Future impacts of the wood processing sectors on the southern economy are expected to continue at about the same level. The total wood products workforce has stayed fairly constant over the last 30 years, indicating that increases in production have been offset by increases in labor productivity. Using the increased harvest numbers from [Chapter TIMBR-1](#) in the IMPLAN input-output model requires an assumption that technology does not change, which is unrealistic over the 40-year projection period. Thus, we conclude that wood products will continue to be important contributors to the economy, and that labor use might not change. Given the projected shifts in harvest location from [Chapter TIMBR-1](#), we would expect jobs to shift to areas of increasing harvest intensity and away from areas of decreasing harvest intensity. The degree of this shift will depend on the relative costs of industrial relocation versus raw materials transportation.

5.4 Recreation/tourism sectors

As in our analysis of wood products, we first examined the direct tourism-related jobs over time and by State. We then estimated the direct, indirect, and induced effects of forest-based recreation in 1997. The analysis of the role of forests in recreation- and tourism-based employment and income is hampered by the lack of information on exactly how much of the local economy derives from recreation and tourism (Kass and Okuba, 2000). Unlike the wood products sectors, where data are collected in categories that relate closely to forests and forestry, expenditures by visitors to forests are lumped together with expenditures by residents and other travelers for such items as eating and lodging.

As noted above, in the State-level analysis, we used lodging and eating places to proxy for tourism-related industries. For 1996, we developed a measure of outdoor-recreation-based tourism at the county level, and compared this to the totals from the lodging and eating places. The correlation was quite high ($>.98$) and significant, and the rankings were similar. Thus, we concluded that the time-series of overall tourism was an adequate proxy for the actual, but unobtainable time series of outdoor-recreation-based tourism.

In contrast, the indirect effects were more precisely modeled using three different techniques (see below for a complete discussion of these methods). Thus, the discussion of the time-series direct jobs and income in tourism-related sectors is not directly comparable to the estimates of direct, indirect and induced effects of forest-based and outdoor recreation-based tourism.

Few forest-based recreation activities generate direct income for public land, but some forest-based recreation on private land generates income for the landowner. For example, hunting clubs often lease hunting rights from private landowners. For recreation on both public and private land, the major economic impact is the money spent in local communities by recreationists. As a result, the recreation analysis is very different from the timber analysis. Rather than tracking a physical commodity through several processing steps, we trace the impact of a nonmaterial forest output--the opportunity to recreate--to the final consumer. There are no forward linkages, in the market sense, from the forest to the final consumer. There are only backward linkages from the recreation consumer to the producers of the supplies the consumer buys.

Recreation output from the forest is nonmaterial--it is the setting that is provided. As this output is not being processed in any way, we have no sales value for secondary processing industries as we did for timber. Therefore, to measure the economic impact of recreation activities, we estimated what recreationists purchased in local economies.

5.4.1 Changes in recreation/tourism sectors over time

The percentages of all southern jobs that are in the hotel and lodging and the eating and drinking place sectors have increased in all 13 southern States ([Figure 10](#)). Percentages for Mississippi and Louisiana reflect significant increases in the early 1990s, likely due to changes in State gambling laws. Similar increases occurred in wages and salaries ([Figure 11](#)) between 1969

and 1998. Florida had the largest concentration of tourism-related jobs and income, exceeding 7 percent in 1998. There is much less variation by State in tourism-related jobs and income than in wood products jobs and income. Tourism-related jobs are 5 to 6 percent of all jobs, and 3 to 6 percent of income is in tourism-related sectors. Unlike in the wood products sector, these sectors represent a larger share of jobs than of income. This result implies a lower-than-average income per job for tourism-related compared to a higher-than-average income per job for wood products. It is generally accepted that tourism jobs have lower hourly wages than manufacturing jobs. However, because the jobs in this dataset do not represent full time equivalents (40 hour weeks), the lower income per job could also be due to part-time work, rather than lower hourly wages.

5.4.2 Impact analysis for 1997

We used three different methods to estimate total outdoor or forest-based recreation impacts in the South. These methods give us a range of impacts, with a low of 317 million forest visitor-days and a high of 1,268 million visitor-days. The first method is based on the most recent National Forest System (NFS) estimates of visits to Region 8 in 2000 (USDA Forest Service 2001). This method includes expenditures made for durables, nondurables, and services within 50 miles of the recreation site. We allocated NFS recreation visits using two different methods, participation from NSRE (NFS-P) and land area in National Forests (NFS-L). The second method uses the national Travel and Tourism Satellite Accounts (Kass and Okuba, 2000) (TTSA) to attribute output to travel and tourism, then estimates forest-based proportions using recent study results that outdoor recreation comprises 19 percent of all leisure tourism visits and 33 percent of all leisure tourism expenditures (Pennsylvania Department of Conservation and Natural Resources 1999). This method does not include durables expenditures, but includes all other expenditures for outdoor recreation-related tourism. The third method also uses the 19 and 33 percentages, but applies them to State level estimates of total travel and tourism outputs from the Travel Industry Association of America (TIA) (1999). Similar to the TTSA method, this method does not include durables purchases, accounts for all other purchases regardless of where made, and includes expenditures from all outdoor recreation, not just forest-based outdoor recreation. These are further discussed below.

NFS-methods: National Forest visits in the southern region were estimated at 24,869,000 for 2000 (Personal Communications Don English, USDA Forest Service, Athens, GA.). The NFS-P method assumed that the proportion of visits to public land was equal to the proportion of activity-days occurring on public land in the NSRE (56 percent). Further, the percent of visits to national forest land was equal to the proportion of public land managed by the National Forests (30 percent). This approach resulted in an estimated 17 percent of the recreation visits occurring on NFS land, and thus the remaining 83 percent occurred on private and other public lands (148,115,474 visits). (Table 5) For the NFS-L method we assumed that all forests were visited in proportion to their acreage in the South, so we divided the NFS visits by the percent of all forest land in national forests (6 percent), resulting in 410,043,596 total forest visits.

Visits are multiday trips, so we adjusted the visit estimates using trip lengths from the

CUSTOMER survey (available from Ken Cordell, USDA Forest Service, Athens, GA) and activity allocation from NSRE to get total days of forest visits. To get days, we used a weighted average length of trip for nonresidents and assumed a single-day visit for residents. The weights were based on the proportion of total trips that were a single type, such as camping, using the NSRE data on participation. The average of 2.14 days per trip resulted in an estimate of the total number of forest-based recreation days of 317,123,332 for the NFS-P method and 878,448,994 for the NFS-L method.

These activity estimates were multiplied by the response coefficients for direct and total impacts derived from IMPLAN. We used expenditure profiles detailing what people spent on various activities from two previously developed surveys, the Public Area Recreation Visitor Survey (PARVS, available from Ken Cordell, USDA Forest Service, Athens, GA) for recreation, and the US Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) surveys for hunting and fishing, both in dollars of expenditures per person per day (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). The response coefficients for the recreational activities (developed camping, mechanized travel, other recreation, trail use and winter activities) were developed using PARVS expenditures bridged to IMPLAN sectors. Hunting and fishing response coefficients were developed by bridging FWS survey data to IMPLAN sectors. These profiles include expenditures within 50 miles for PARVs and within the State for FWS, for both residents and nonresidents. Separate coefficients were estimated for residents and nonresidents. Impacts for residents are substantially lower than for nonresidents.

For both scenarios, allocations to individual forest-related activities were based on the percentages from NSRE. [Table 6](#) shows the number of forest visitor-days for both NFS-L and NFS-P. Mechanical travel (resident and nonresident), other (resident), trail use (resident and nonresident), fresh water fishing (nonresident, and other (nonresident), are the largest in number of visitor-days.

The direct and total impacts by activity are shown in [Table 7](#) for NFS-P and [Table 8](#) for NFS-L. Direct jobs range from 136,944 to 379,116 and total jobs (direct plus indirect plus induced) range from 254,591 to 704,812 jobs. Direct contribution to GRP ranges from \$3,805 to 10,533 million, while total GRP from recreation ranges from \$9,350 to \$25,886 million for this method.

TTSA methods: The second method relies on the Travel and Tourism Satellite Accounts (TTSA) for most data (Kass and Okuba, 2000) supplemented with IMPLAN data. IMPLAN response coefficients for each of the affected sectors were used. The TTSA uses national-level data on consumer expenditures and the national input-output tables to attribute demand to tourism. Only travel further than 50 miles from home is represented, so the data were adjusted using the percentages of resident and nonresident travel from the NSRE. The TTSA's estimate foreign and domestic nonresident leisure tourism, as well as business tourism. [Table 9](#) lists the sectors that are assumed to be influenced by tourism. We used the percentage of each sector that was attributed to leisure tourism and applied that percentage to total southern output (from IMPLAN) from those sectors to estimate southern leisure tourism. Leisure tourism is determined from the proportion of industry output that is purchased by tourists more than 50

miles from home.

We then used two different levels to represent the proportion of outdoor recreation expenditures, 19 and 33 percent. These percentages were derived from a study of outdoor recreation tourism in Pennsylvania (Pennsylvania Department of Conservation and Natural Resources 1999). If the primary purpose of the vacation was outdoor recreation and involved overnight travel or travel further than 50 miles from home, then the vacation was considered an outdoor recreation vacation. The study estimated that 59 percent of all travel included some form of outdoor recreation, but that only 19 percent had outdoor recreation as the primary purpose. The study also found that outdoor recreation travel is increasing faster than other forms of travel, and that outdoor recreation travelers spend more per person per trip than the average leisure traveler. While this study was conducted for a different ecoregion and a single State, other similar research was not found. We therefore used two of the numbers from this study: 19 percent of all travelers are outdoor recreation travelers and 33 percent of all expenditures are made by outdoor recreation travelers. Those numbers represent the high and low bounds of the TTSA and TIA methods.

[Table 10](#) and [Table 11](#) show the direct and total effects by sector assuming either the 19 or 33 percent in outdoor recreation. Direct employment ranges from 212,193 jobs to 427,317 jobs and direct GRP ranges from \$6,145 to \$11,555 million. Total employment (direct plus indirect plus induced) ranges from 379,373 to 748,094 and total GRP from \$13,492 to \$25,624 million dollars. The largest impacts are from the airline, eating and drinking, hotel and lodging and recreation and entertainment sectors.

TIA method: The third method used the TIA report for 1997 in combination with inputs from the Pennsylvania DCNR and the TTSA for 1997. The Travel Industry Association of America developed impacts for travel by State for 1997 (Travel Industry Association of America 1999) using an input-output model. The results include total impacts for expenditures, payroll and employment. TIA travel includes only travel further than 50 miles from home, so the data were adjusted using the percentages of resident and nonresident recreators from the NSRE. We applied the percentage of tourism that is leisure tourism (from the TTSA) and the percentage attributable to outdoor recreation (19 and 33 percent, from the PA DCNR study). These percentages were also adjusted by the proportion of the State in forest to account for the differences in largely unforested States such as Texas and Oklahoma. These latter two States had the lowest percent of tourism in outdoor recreation related tourism ([Table 12](#)), while Alabama, Georgia and Mississippi had the highest rates. [Table 12](#) also has the TIA data for all tourism direct expenditures, payroll and employment.

[Table 13](#) shows the direct and total effects from applying both the 19 and 33 percent of tourism as outdoor recreation related. Direct effects jobs range from 276,000 to 480,000 and expenditures from \$16 to \$28 billion. Total jobs range from 579,000 to 1,006,000, and total expenditures range from \$38.5 to \$66.9 billion. Total values were derived by using the multipliers developed at the national level for the TIA report.

Summary: [Table 14](#) compares the six estimates and also estimates the number of visitor-days associated with the TTSA and TIA methods. The relationship between jobs and visitor-days in the NFS methods was used to calculate the visitor days associated with the TTSA and TIA methods. Estimated visitor-days, and the other economic measures of jobs, income, etc., are ordered similarly, with the NFS-P method generating the lowest economic contributions, followed by the TTSA-19 and TIA-19 methods, and NFS-L, TTSA-33 and TIA-33 generating the highest contributions. Direct effects are 0.2 to 1.2 percent of total southern employment and 0.13 to 0.61 percent of total southern GRP (GRP is not available from the TIA methods). Total effects range from 0.38 to 2.62 percent of employment and 0.32 to 1.35 percent of GRP.

The USDA Forest Service recently released revised estimates of national forest visits based on a survey (USDA Forest Service 2001). These estimates will be prepared each year for all national forests. Estimates for the Southern Region, which were used in the NFS methods above, were 24.9 million visits in 2000. [Table 15](#) shows the estimated visits and land area for each of the regions and for the United States as well as the visits per acre. This rate of visitation is highest in the Eastern Region, followed by the Southern Region at 1.89 visits per acre. These numbers are an indication of relative resource scarcity of national forest land for recreation. At this time, the bulk of the national forest land is located distant from most of the population, thus limiting its usefulness in alleviating this scarcity.

Participation in recreational activities has been projected to increase in the South (see [Chapter SOCIO-6](#)). It is likely that this recreation will be concentrated on Federal and State parks, forests, and coastlines. As such, these increases in participation will likely lead to increased jobs in areas with public recreation lands. Increases in labor productivity will occur in the leisure service sectors, but they are likely to be small relative to total output. Thus, labor will continue to be a major input into production of these services. One aspect of recreational services that could change in the South is a potential increase in manufacturers of recreation products, leading to an increase in retention of backward linkages within the region, involving both returns to capital and to labor. We expect the proportion of the southern economy in outdoor-recreation enterprises to continue to increase, comparable to increases in the national economy.

5.4.3 Relationship between recreation and wood products sectors in the economy

Discussions of the forest-based economy often center around the relationship between the wood products and the recreation and tourism sectors because both depend on the existence of forests (see Morton 1994, Schallau 1994). While the relationship between the two uses may be obvious at an individual site, the landscape level effects of these activities on the economy are not clear. The substitution of one site for another in both recreation and wood products will lead to geographic shifts in economic costs and benefits, but may or may not represent an economic loss. To our knowledge no systematic study of the joint production aspects of the forest landscape in supporting both the wood products and recreation/tourism industries has been conducted.

While much of the past controversy centers around public land, the management of private forests is becoming more controversial. Landowners and recreationists have similar perceptions about general forest management, but differing perceptions about harvesting activities (Theodori and others 2000, Marcouiller and Mace 1999). These differences also occur when comparing second homeowners with local residents (Marcouiller and others 1999).

Another source of discussion regarding the two forest uses is the disparity between the average annual incomes from the two sectors ([Table 16](#)). The wood products average is higher than the southwide economy average, which is higher than the average of the three recreation methods used. Income per job (not a wage rate) ranges from less than \$5,000 per year for timber to over \$52,000 per year for pulp and paper. The low level for timber production may reflect the part-time nature of this work. GRP per job, also shown in [table 16](#), is highest for pulp and paper (over \$82,000 per year) and lowest for wood furniture and recreation (about \$33,000 per year).

Recreation and wood products contribute to the local community by providing jobs and income. These are generally viewed as positive effects of development. However, both recreation and wood products development, on either public or private forest land, have the potential for negative effects on the local community. Murdy and others list some of these as host:tourist conflicts, crime, overcrowding, migration, and loss of family traditions. These and other quality-of-life issues must be balanced with the more traditional standard-of-living issues (jobs and income) when considering any changes in forest management.

5.5 Distributional consequences of forest based economic activity

This section summarizes previous research on the distributional impacts of policies, industrial changes, and situations. In assessing situations, we can only examine correlations or associations because causality between forests, forest-based industries and distribution has not been determined.

Impact of a project or situation can be assessed by assuming individuals maximize utility consisting of physical, amenity, financial/economic, and institutional/social factors (Xu 1994). Impacts on groups divided by age, generation, income, geography, place in the production chain (producers or consumers) and race can all be assessed. In this discussion, we focus on financial and economic impacts on groups divided by geography (urban/rural), race, and income class, largely because these are what previous studies have addressed.

Previous analyses of distributional impacts in forestry have focused on the (1) public land harvests and (2) tree planting programs (Boyd and Hyde, Wear and Hyde, Berck and others). In addition, several analyses of the impacts of changes in the industry (products of technology) have been conducted (Xu 1994, Alavalapati and others 1999, Marcouiller and others 1995). Other studies have assessed the association between forests, rural communities, and the economic benefits derived from forests, including tourism and wood products (English and others 2000, Bliss and others (undated), Bliss and others 1994, Lee and Cubbage 1994).

Rural communities are worse off generally than more urban communities. This disparity is attributed to a lack of human and human-made capital, even in the presence of a wealth of natural capital (Beaulieu and others). Social capital and other community attributes can also influence well-being in rural communities (Bliss and others (undated), Force and others 2000). A community needs a balance of many kinds of capital in order to thrive. Forests in the South are a major component of the region's natural capital, but forests are often associated with the absence of human and human-made capital (Joshi and others). Forests are unlikely causes for lower economic well-being, but the negative associations and correlations between well-being and forests have been well documented (Bliss and others 1994, Bliss and others undated, Lee and Cubbage 1994). Berck and others (1992) found that problems in rural communities resulted more from remote locations and subsequent transportation costs than from specific forest products industries. Using simulation, they found that maximizing the diversity of the rural community or replacing wood products with other manufacturing sectors did not improve the economic well-being of the community.

Use of private forests for timber and recreation production, however, does have potentially undesirable distributional consequences. Because forestland is owned by middle and upper income households, revenue from uses will go to these households (Marcouiller and others 1995). Subsequent wood processing, however, will lead to benefits for lower income households through increases in well-paid job opportunities (Alavalapati and others 1999). In contrast, increasing recreation production is likely to produce lower paying jobs locally, with the returns to capital accumulating to higher income households elsewhere. Adding race in to the mix (rural, forested, and large minority populations) makes it harder to correct problems of lower human and human-made capital and often exacerbates the regressive distributional effects of rural, forested locations (Bliss and others 1994). Changes in the nature of the wood products sectors can also have distribution impacts. In modeling an expansion of the pulp and paper sector (Alavalapati and others 1999) found that higher income households benefited, while a decline in the lumber sector hurt higher income households more than lower income households.

5.6 Revenues and expenditures by State and Federal Governments for forest-based activities

5.6.1 State governments

State and local governments derive revenues from and make expenditures for both wood products and forest-based recreation and tourism. Expenditures include State budgets for forestry and park agencies and for visitor and tourism agencies, as well as grants or subsidies to specific industries or businesses designed to bolster economic development. Subsidies can include property tax or development fee waivers, infrastructure improvements or other incentives. These subsidies and grants are not usually focused on the forest-based sectors. In addition, the Federal Government may also provide subsidies through infrastructure improvements or development assistance.

[Table 17](#) and [Table 18](#) show the revenues and expenditures of the 13 State forestry agencies for 1998 obtained from the National Association of State Foresters. Florida, Georgia, and North Carolina have the largest State forestry agencies. Over 54 percent of the expenditures for all States are for fire management. About 7 percent of revenues are from the Federal government, the remainder are from State budgets and sales or permits.

State level expenditures in support of and revenue from forest-based recreation occur through both State park agencies and State travel and tourism agencies. Travel and tourism agencies, however, also deal with significant nonforest- recreation opportunities. [Table 19](#) shows State park acres, expenditures and revenues for the state parks in the 13 Southern States (Thoreau Institute 1995). Florida has the largest percentage of land in State parks, while Kentucky and Tennessee have the largest numbers of visitors.

5.6.2 Federal government

The Federal Government contributes to the forest-based economy of the South, and hence to the general economy, through the management of federal land used for recreation, hunting and product removal. The harvest from national forests is discussed in more detail in the [wood products section](#) above.

Land managed by the four major Federal land management agencies constitutes 4.7 percent of southern land area ([Table 20](#)). Most of this land is managed by the USDA Forest Service (Vincent and others). This compares to the nearly 29 percent of total U.S. land area that is managed by these agencies. Arkansas, Virginia, and North Carolina have the largest percentage of Federal land among Southern States, while Texas, Oklahoma and Alabama have the smallest. [Table 19](#) also shows acres of wilderness by agency and miles of wild, scenic and recreational rivers by agency. Wilderness represents over 10 percent of Federal land in the South, with most of the wilderness occurring in Florida, Virginia, North Carolina and Arkansas.

Timber is produced on forestland managed by the USDA Forest Service, the Bureau of Land Management, and the Fish and Wildlife Service. All four agencies contribute substantial recreation opportunities. Mining and oil and gas production occur on Federal lands in these States. Some of the land included in this table is not forested, such as coastal marshlands and grasslands managed by the agencies. Note also that these values are for the entire States of Texas and Oklahoma.

Management of public land also contributes to local economies through expenditures made by the agencies and through payroll for employees. For example, the USDA Forest Service contributed over \$330 million to the Southern Region for management of the national forests, for research and development, for State and private forestry, and for payments to States. Revenues generated from activities on federal lands are shared with local governments through various regulations, including the 25 Percent Fund Act (P.L.60-136) and Payments in Lieu of Taxes (PILT)(P.L.94-565, P.L.97-258). In 1996, the USDA Forest Service, through the 25 percent fund, paid \$22,709,317 to Southern States. This total does not include PILT payments or

payments made through the Minerals Management Service, of the Department of the Interior.

Recently, these laws were amended by the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self Determination Act of 2000 (P.L. 106-393). Counties that have received payments previously are now eligible to collect either the traditional amount (usually 25 percent for Forest Service land) or an amount equal to the average of the three highest years' payments between 1986 and 1999. If the latter amount is requested (referred to as the "full payment"), the counties must use 80-85 percent of the total for traditional payments to support roads and schools. The percentage depends on the total amount received. The balance of the payment would be used for public land projects or county-level projects as determined by a resource advisory council in the local area. This new law will take effect for the fiscal year 2001 payments to States.

6 Discussion and Conclusions

Forests are important in the local and regional economies of the South. The overall southern economy has grown since 1969 with increases in numbers of jobs proportionate to increases in population and in the national economy. This new economy is less dominated by manufacturing and agriculture. Timber and agriculture, the two major uses of rural southern land, still account for over 6 percent of the southern economy. Much of the South is still rural and poor, though conditions have improved.

The South has 33 percent of the U.S. population and 24 percent of the U.S. area, but only 4 percent of Federal land and 12 percent of State park and forest land. About 2.6 percent of U.S. wilderness is in the South, and 6.8 percent of miles of wild, scenic and recreational rivers are in the 13 Southern States. These percentages imply that both recreational and timber producing opportunities may be more constrained on public land in the South than in other regions of the United States. National Forests in the Southern Region are the second most heavily used for recreation among the nine USDA Forest Service regions with visits of 1.9 per acre, reflecting the scarcity of public land for outdoor recreation in this region. National forests contributed 1.7 percent of the value of timber harvested, and an estimated 17 percent of outdoor recreation-based tourism in 1997. Fourteen southern counties have high concentrations of wood products employment and high percentages of land managed by the USDA Forest Service.

The U.S. wood products industry continues to concentrate in the South, which already has 39.3 percent of U.S. wood product jobs. Concentrations of both the lumber and wood products sector and the pulp and paper sector have increased since 1969, while the furniture sector concentration decreased. The percentages of State-level jobs and income in wood products have generally declined since 1969, but actual numbers of jobs have remained fairly constant. Tourism-related industries are increasing in the South, but are not becoming more concentrated in the South. The percentage of State-level jobs and income in the tourism-related sectors is increasing in all 13 States, as are the actual numbers of jobs and amount of income.

In 1997, wood products sectors contributed 5.5 percent of southern jobs and 6.0 percent of GRP. Public lands represented 8.5 percent of this contribution. In 1997, outdoor-recreation-based

tourism contributed between 0.64 and 2.88 percent of southern jobs and between 0.51 and 2.51 percent of GRP. Public lands represented approximately 56 percent of this contribution.

Both forest-based recreation and wood products rely on the nearby presence of forestland. Thus, these sectors are often concentrated in rural areas. Rural areas in the South are generally less well off, have higher minority concentrations, and more forestland. While causality between forests and well-being cannot be determined, the associations between forested areas, wood products concentrations, and economic well-being indicate that rural, forested areas are less well off than many, but not all, other rural areas.

7 Needs for Additional Research

Research is needed to:

- Explore the joint production of recreation/tourism and wood products from forested landscapes and the subsequent economic impacts.
- Explore the relationship between growth in the economic base, economic and social well-being, and ecosystem sustainability.
- Continue to work to isolate the forest-based portion of recreation/tourism impacts on the economy.
- Improve methodologies and gather data to assess the total resource impacts of both wood products and forest-based tourism development through natural resource accounting.
- Develop comprehensive models and gather data to address distributional aspects of the forest-economy relationship.
- Explore potential for substitution between public and private lands in providing wood products and recreation/tourism outputs.

8 Acknowledgments

Carter Betz and Ken Cordell of the Southern Research Station for the NSRE data. Donald English of the Southern Research Station for assistance with the National Forest System visitation data.

9 Literature Cited

Abt, R.C., J. Brunet, B.C. Murray, and D.G. Roberts. 1994. Productivity growth and price trends in the North American sawmilling industries: an inter-regional comparison. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*. 24:139-148.

- Alavalapati, J.R.R., W.L. Adamowicz, W.A. White. 1999. Distributional impacts of forest resource policies in Alberta. *Forest Science*. 45(3):342-348.
- Aruna, P.B., F.W. Cabbage, K.L. Abt and C. Redmond. 1997. Regional economic contributions of the forest-based industries in the South. *Forest Products Journal* July/Aug: 35-45.
- Beale, C.L. and K.M Johnson. 1998. The identification of recreational counties in nonmetropolitan areas of the USA. *Population Research and Policy Review*. 17:37-53.
- Beaulieu, L.J., M.A Barfield, K.L. Stone. 2001. Educated workforce, quality jobs: still elusive goals in the rural South. *Rural America*. 15(4):28-35.
- Berck, P., D. Burton, G. Goldman, J. Geoghegan. 1992. Instability in forestry and forestry communities. In: P.N. Nemetz ed., *Emerging issues in forest policy*. Vancouver, BC:UBC Press. P. 315-338.
- Bliss, J.C., G.R. Howze, L.J. Teeter, C. Bailey. 1994. Forestry and poverty in Alabama's Black Belt. In: D.N. Wear, ed. *Proceedings of the 1993 Southern Forest Economics Workshop*. Durham, NC: P. 221-227.
- Bliss, J.C., C. Bailey, G.R. Howze, L.J. Teeter. Timber dependency in the American South. Southeastern Center for Forest Economics Research, Research Triangle Park, NC. SCFER Working Paper No 74. 18 pp.
- Boyd, R.G. and W.F. Hyde. 1989. *Forestry Sector Intervention: the impacts of public regulation on social welfare*. Ames, IA: Iowa State University Press. 295 p.
- Bowker, J.M., D.B.K. English, H.K. Cordell. 1999. Projections of outdoor recreation participation to 2050. In: Cordell, H.K., C. Betz, and others. *Outdoor recreation in American Life: a national assessment of demand and supply trends*. Champaign, IL: Sagamore Publishing. P. 323-351.
- Cook, P.J., K.L. Mizer. 1994. The revised ERS county typology: an overview. Rural Development Research Report 89. Washington, DC: USDA, Rural Economy Division, Economic Research Service. 48 p.
- Cromartie, J.B. 2001. Migrants in the Rural South choose urban and natural amenities. *Rural America*. 15(4):7-18.
- Dale, F., D. McGranahan. 2001. Nonmetro areas fall behind in the "new economy". *Rural America*. 16(1):44-52.
- Deller, S.C., T-H Tsai, D.W. Marcouiller, D.B.K. English. 2001. The role of amenities and quality of life in rural economic growth. *Amer. J. Agr. Econ*. 83(2):352-365.
- English, D.B.K., D.W. Marcouiller, H.K. Cordell. 2000. Tourism dependence in rural America: estimates and effects. *Society and Natural Resources* 13:185-202.

Force, J.E., G.E. Machlis, L.Zhang. 2000. The engines of change in resource-dependent communities. *Forest Science*. 46(3):410-422.

Gale and McGranahan

Ghelfi, L.M. 2001. Most persistently poor rural counties in the South remained poor in 1995. *Rural America*. 15(4):36-49.

Gibbs, R. 2001. New South, old challenges. *Rural America*. 15(4):2-6.

Joshi, M.L., J.C. Bliss, C. Bailey, L.J. Teeter, K.J. Ward. 2000. Investing in industry, underinvesting in human capital. *Society and Natural Resources*. 13(4):291-319.

Kass, D.I. and S. Okuba. 2000. US travel and tourism satellite accounts for 1996 and 1997. *Survey of Current Business*. July:8-24.

Lee, K.J., F.W. Cubbage. 1994. Timber dependent communities: how do they stack up? In: D.N. Wear, ed. *Proceedings of the 1993 Southern Forest Economics Workshop*. Durham, NC: P.214-220.

Marcouiller, D.W. 1998. Environmental resources as latent primary factors of production in tourism: the case of forest-based commercial recreation. *Tourism Economics*. 4(2):131-145.

Marcouiller, D.W., G.R. Green. S.C. Deller, N.R. Sumathi. 1999. Recreation homes and regional development: a case study from the Upper Great Lakes States. Publication G3651. Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin. <http://www.uwex.edu/ces/pubs/pdf/g3651.pdf>.

Marcouiller, D.W., T. Mace. 1999. Forest and regional development: economic impacts of woodland use for timber and recreation in Wisconsin. Publication G3694. Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin. <http://www.uwex.edu/ces/pubs/pdf/g3694.pdf>.

Marcouiller, D.W., D.F. Schreiner, D.K. Lewis. 1995. Distributive economic impacts of intensive timber production. *Forest Science* 41(1):122-139.

McGranahan, D.A. 2001. New economy manufacturing meets old economy education policies in the rural South. *Rural America*. 15(4):19-27.

Minnesota IMPLAN Group, Inc. 1997. IMPLAN professional social accounting and impact analysis software: user's, analysis and data guide. Stillwater, MN. 378 p.

Morton, P.A. 1994. *Charting a new course: National Forests in the Southern Appalachians*. Atlanta: The Wilderness Society.

Murdy, J., A. Yiannakis, J. Stuart. 2000. The perceived impacts of tourism: economic, environmental, and sociocultural influences of tourism on the host community. Kyle, Gerard, comp., ed. *Proceedings of the 1999 Northeastern recreation research symposium; 1999 April 11-*

14; Bolton Landing, NY. Gen. Tech. Rep. NE-269. Newtown Square, PA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northeastern Research Station. P. 3-9.

National Association of State Foresters. 2001.

http://www.Stateforesters.org/statistics/FY98_Statistics/Financial.htm. Accessed January 2001.

Niemi, E.G., E.W. Whitelaw. 1997. Assessing economic tradeoffs in forest management. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-403. Portland, OR: USDA, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 78 p.

Nord, M., J.B. Cromartie. 1997. Migration: the increasing importance of rural natural amenities. Choices (third quarter):31-32.

Pennsylvania Department of Conservation and Natural Resources. 1999. Pennsylvania Outdoor Tourism: visitor profile and economic impact.

<http://www.dcnr.state.pa.us/recstudy/outdoortourism.html>.

Schallau, C. 1994. The contribution of the forest products industry to rural economies of the Southern Appalachian region. Technical Bulletin No. 94-4. Washington, DC: American Forest and Paper Association.

Southern Appalachian Man and the Biosphere (SAMAB). 1996. The Southern Appalachian Assessment social/cultural/economic technical report. Report 4 or 5. Atlanta: USDA Forest Service, Southern Region. 220 pp.

Theodori, G.L., A.E. Luloff, T.A. Slack. 2000. Recreationists' attitudes toward the forest and forest management policies. Kyle, Gerard, comp., ed. Proceedings of the 1999 Northeastern recreation research symposium; 1999 April 11-14; Bolton Landing, NY. Gen. Tech. Rep. NE-269. Newtown Square, PA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northeastern Research Station. P. 219-223.

Thoreau Institute. 1995. State parks, forests and other lands. Different Drummer, 1995.

<http://www.ti.org/sparkdata.html>. Accessed November 5, 2001.

Travel Industry Association of America. 1999. Impact of travel on state economies. Washington, DC: Travel Industry Association of America. 88 pp.

USDA Forest Service. 1988. The South's Fourth Forest: Alternatives for the future. Forest Resource Report No. 24. Washington DC: USDA Forest Service. 512 pp.

USDA Forest Service. 1999. Ozark-Ouachita Highlands assessment: Social and economic conditions. Report 4 of 5. Gen. Tech. Rep. SRS-34. Asheville, NC: USDA Forest Service, Southern Research Station. 299 pp.

USDA Forest Service. 2001. National forest visitor use monitoring national and regional project results. http://www.fs.fed.us/recreation/recuse/reports/year1/National_Report_Yr1.html. Accessed September 6, 2001.

U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis. 1999. Regional Economic Information Service. <http://fisher.lib.virginia.edu/reis>. Accessed November 2000.

U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census. 1998. USA counties CD-ROM disk. Washington, DC.

U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census. 2001. (decennial census) <http://www.census.gov>, Accessed August 20, 2001. (Current Population Survey--poverty) http://ferret.bls.census.gov/macro/032000/pov/new04_016.htm). Accessed July 2001.

U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. 2000. (unemployment) <http://www.bls.gov>. Accessed December 2000.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Vincent, C.H., B.A. Cody, M.L. Cope, R. Gorte, S.L. John. 2001. Federal land management agencies: background on land and resource management. Congressional Research Service Report to Congress, RL30867, February 27, 2001. <http://www.cnire.org/nle/pub-17.pdf>.

Wagner, J.E., S.C. Deller. 1998. Measuring the effects of economic diversity on growth and stability. *Land Economics* 74:541-556.

Wear, D.N. and W.F. Hyde. 1992. Distributive issues in forest policy. In: P.N. Nemetz ed., *Emerging issues in forest policy*. Vancouver, BC: UBC Press P. 297-314.

Xu, Zhi. 1994. Assessing distributional impacts of forest policies and projects: an integrated approach. *Evaluation Review* 18(3):281-311.

10 Tables and Figures

Table 1--Direct employment, employee compensation, value added, gross regional product and total industry output for wood products related sectors, 1997

Direct impacts by IMPLAN wood products sector								
IMPLAN sector number	IMPLAN sector name	Aggregate sector name	Percent of all wood products	Employment	Employee compensation	Value added	Total industry output	Gross regional product
				<i>Jobs</i>	<i>Millions of dollars</i>			
22	Forest products	Timber	1.2	25,991	38	1,151	1,460	1,116
24	Forestry products	Timber	3.1	13,485	147	1,618	3,678	1,240
133	Logging camps and logging contractors	Logging	6.4	47,331	1,133	2,824	7,583	2,780
134	Sawmills and planing mills, general	Sawmills	9.5	71,531	1,993	3,202	11,232	3,138
135	Hardwood dimension and flooring mills	Sawmills	1.5	25,931	591	862	1,739	852
136	Special Product Sawmills, N.E.C	Sawmills	0.0	509	15	22	37	22
137	Millwork	Sawmills	2.2	29,582	776	1,003	2,569	988
138	Wood Kitchen Cabinets	Sawmills	1.3	21,858	518	755	1,521	746
139	Veneer and Plywood	Sawmills	4.2	36,149	1,305	1,903	4,967	1,872
140	Structural Wood Members, N.E.C	Sawmills	1.4	15,246	411	584	1,663	574
141	Wood Containers	Sawmills	0.3	5,146	103	147	355	145
142	Wood Pallets and Skids	Sawmills	0.9	16,714	341	475	1,071	469
144	Prefabricated Wood Buildings	Sawmills	0.4	4,948	126	176	524	173

145	Wood Preserving	Sawmills	1.8	7,846	221	431	2,146	420
146	Reconstituted Wood Products	Sawmills	2.0	10,981	390	876	2,405	862
147	Wood Products, N.E.C	Sawmills	1.1	17,492	416	634	1,340	626
148	Wood Household Furniture	Wood furniture	5.2	73,696	1,830	2,370	6,123	2,335
149	Upholstered Household Furniture	Wood furniture	5.1	65,463	1,769	2,143	6,085	2,107
150	Metal Household Furniture	Wood furniture	0.9	9,908	262	341	1,029	336
151	Mattresses and Bedsprings	Wood furniture	1.1	11,057	347	459	1,263	454
152	Wood Tv and Radio Cabinets	Wood furniture	0.2	1,822	49	58	184	56
153	Household Furniture, N.E.C	Wood furniture	0.2	3,853	98	111	254	110
154	Wood Office Furniture	Wood furniture	0.5	7,932	241	279	611	275
155	Metal Office Furniture	Wood furniture	1.1	6,143	198	276	1,266	270
156	Public Building Furniture	Wood furniture	1.8	12,444	395	583	2,134	571
157	Wood Partitions and Fixtures	Wood furniture	0.6	9,466	272	327	682	324
158	Metal Partitions and Fixtures	Wood furniture	0.9	8,674	285	375	1,039	369
159	Blinds, Shades, and Drapery Hardware	Wood furniture	0.4	4,401	114	182	444	180
160	Furniture and Fixtures, N.E.C	Wood furniture	0.7	4,205	124	206	823	203

161	Pulp Mills	Pulp and paper	3.3	8,315	546	1,205	3,852	1,160
162	Paper Mills, Except Building Paper	Pulp and paper	11.0	53,130	3,371	5,064	13,040	4,922
163	Paperboard Mills	Pulp and paper	9.9	29,712	1,910	3,306	11,767	3,188
164	Paperboard Containers and Boxes	Pulp and paper	9.2	60,867	2,567	3,188	10,880	3,072
165	Paper Coated & Laminated Packaging	Pulp and paper	1.0	5,428	265	404	1,159	392
166	Paper Coated & Laminated N.E.C.	Pulp and paper	0.9	5,485	272	426	1,089	414
168	Bags, Paper	Pulp and paper	1.3	8,733	298	469	1,482	453
169	Die-cut Paper and Board	Pulp and paper	0.3	2,939	92	125	361	120
170	Sanitary Paper Products	Pulp and paper	4.9	10,382	536	1,859	5,828	1,800
171	Envelopes	Pulp and paper	0.4	3,722	139	168	500	163
172	Stationery Products	Pulp and paper	0.3	1,581	62	116	340	112
173	Converted Paper Products, N.E.C	Pulp and paper	1.6	11,295	427	655	1,914	635
TOTAL	All wood products		100.0	771,392	25,439	42,022	120,543	40,688

Source: Minnesota IMPLAN Group, Inc. 1997

[Return to first reference in text](#)

[Return to second reference in text](#)

Table 2--Direct effects of aggregate wood products sectors compared to agriculture sectors, 1997

Sector	Employment	Employee comp.	Value added	Total industry output	Gross regional product
	<i>number</i>		<i>millions of dollars</i>		
Timber	39,475	185	2,769	5,138	2,355
Logging	47,331	1,133	2,824	7,583	2,780
Sawmills	263,933	7,207	11,070	31,569	10,886
Wood furniture	219,064	5,860	7,503	21,114	7,387
Pulp and Paper	201,589	10,610	17,191	53,035	16,635
All wood products	771,392	24,995	41,357	118,438	40,043
 All South	 39,988,010	 1,094,474	 1,885,326	 3,353,628	 1,735,953
 Percent of southern economy in:					
Timber production	0.22	0.12	0.30	0.38	0.30
Wood processing	1.71	2.16	1.90	3.15	2.01
Farming	3.00	0.60	1.50	1.70	1.60
Food processing	1.27	1.61	2.22	4.19	1.94

Source: Minnesota IMPLAN Group, Inc. 1997

[Return to first reference in text](#)

Table 3--Total impacts for 1997 wood products output levels

Sector Total impact values (direct+indirect+induced) for 1997 output levels

		Employee		Total	Gross
	Employment	compensation	Value added	industry	regional
	<i>number</i>		<i>millions of dollars</i>	output	product
Public timber	8,854	223	422	777	422
Timber	110,527	1,679	4,905	10,081	4,181
Logging	99,750	2,462	5,246	11,967	4,982
Sawmills	688,768	18,614	32,035	70,909	29,924
Wood furniture	530,916	14,509	23,096	50,557	21,545
Pulp and paper	771,430	26,355	47,041	107,283	43,584
Total	2,210,246	63,842	112,745	251,574	104,639
Percent of southern production	5.53	5.83	5.98	7.50	6.03

Source: Minnesota IMPLAN Group, Inc: 1997

[Return to first reference in text](#)

Table 4--Selected counties with high wood products concentration and high National Forest land ownership

County Name	State	National forest harvest receipts	Total forest harvest receipts	Percent of harvest receipts from national forests	Percent of land in national forests	Number of wood products jobs	Percent of wood products jobs	Number of wood products jobs	Percent of jobs in wood products	Per capita income	Removals per acre	Removals of forest per private acre	Percent of forest planted in pine
				1996	1996	1996	1996	1996	1996	1996			
				<i>millions of dollars</i>				<i>cubic feet per acre</i>					
Montgomery	AR	4.72	5.84	0.81	0.67	129	0.04	225	0.07	8,343	0.025	0.105	0.131
Newton	AR	0.1	1.162	0.09	0.37	157	0.07	104	0.04	7,114	0.017	0.038	0.000
Polk	AR	0.76	2.328	0.33	0.37	560	0.06	559	0.06	8,884	0.029	0.059	0.127
Scott	AR	6.99	7.091	0.99	0.64	346	0.07	472	0.09	8,360	0.034	0.150	0.099
Liberty	FL	0.42	1.131	0.37	0.51	323	0.16	279	0.13	11,500	0.024	0.053	0.321
Grant	LA	4.47	4.897	0.91	0.35	497	0.10	472	0.10	8,330	0.077	0.134	0.147
Franklin	MS	3.11	3.785	0.82	0.26	464	0.16	444	0.17	7,426	0.117	0.165	0.052
Perry	MS	3.08	24.953	0.12	0.39	928	0.28	1,025	0.27	7,418	0.043	0.078	0.246
Cherokee	NC	0.1	2.06	0.05	0.32	408	0.04	500	0.04	9,258	0.020	0.032	0.024

Graham	NC	0.17	1.622	0.10	0.61	793	0.24	752	0.21	8,877	0.010	0.033	0.000
Transylvania	NC	0.09	1.861	0.05	0.36	1,389	0.11	1,729	0.13	12,737	0.010	0.017	0.000
Monroe	TN	0.37	2.764	0.13	0.36	1,012	0.07	1,458	0.10	9,080	0.027	0.059	0.000
Sabine	TX	2.27	6.649	0.34	0.30	719	0.22	747	0.20	10,539	0.100	0.156	0.187
Smyth	VA	0.11	2.295	0.05	0.26	2,466	0.14	2,527	0.11	9,613	0.026	0.046	0.000
14 county average						728	0.13	807	0.12	9,106	0.040	0.057	0.080
South wide average						615	0.04	616	0.04	10,494	0.05	0	0.1613

[Return to first reference in text](#)

Table 5--Development of NFS-L and NFS-P recreation impacts analysis, 1997

Visits to National Forests in the Southern Region (NVUM)	24,869,000
Weighted average trip length(CUSTOMER)	2.14
NFS-Land	
Acres of forestland (FIA)	214,850
Acres of National Forest(CRS)	13,031
Percent of forestland in National Forest	6
Visits to all forests in the Southern Region	410,043,596
Total forest visitor days	878,448,994
NFS-Participation	
Total forest-based recreation participation days(NSRE)	5,044,205,000
Forest-based recreation participation days on public lands(56% of participation on all lands)	2,823,120,150
Percent of participation on National Forest lands (appx. 30% of all public lands are national forests)	17
Visits to all forests in the Southern Region(28 percent of total forest visits)	1,481,155
Total forest visitor days(2.14 days per trip)	317,312,332

[Return to first reference in text](#)

Table 6--Allocation of tourism from NFS methods to activities, 1997

	Tourism allocation to activities			Forest visitor days	
	Participation days (NSRE)	Proportion on public lands (NSRE)	Proportion by activity (NSRE)	By participation on public lands and activity length	By public land area and activity length
	<i>millions</i>			<i>millions</i>	
Resident					
Developed camping	35.67	0.68	0.01	2.24	6.21
Mechanized travel	664.70	0.56	0.13	41.81	115.76
Other	549.65	0.66	0.11	34.58	95.72
Trail use	448.60	0.54	0.09	28.22	78.12
Winter activities	13.68	0.06	0.00	0.86	2.38
Big game hunting	64.50	0.27	0.01	4.06	11.23
Small game hunting	43.94	0.33	0.01	2.76	7.65
Other game hunting	4.65	0.26	0.00	0.29	0.81
Fresh water fishing	146.00	0.30	0.03	9.18	25.43
Nonconsumptive wildlife	348.79	0.72	0.07	21.94	60.74
Nonresident					
Developed camping	96.43	0.68	0.02	6.07	16.79
Mechanized travel	1,084.50	0.56	0.21	68.22	188.87
Other	283.15	0.66	0.06	17.81	49.31
Trail use	431.00	0.54	0.09	27.11	75.06
Winter activities	54.72	0.06	0.01	3.44	9.53

Big game hunting	43.00	0.27	0.01	2.70	7.49
Small game hunting	39.72	0.33	0.01	2.50	6.92
Other game hunting	10.20	0.26	0.00	0.64	1.78
Fresh water fishing	288.00	0.30	0.06	18.12	50.16
Nonconsumptive wildlife	393.31	0.72	0.08	24.74	68.50
Total	5,044.21			317.31	878.45

[Return to first reference in text](#)

Table 7--Direct and total impacts by activity from NFS-P recreation impacts analysis, 1997

	1997 activity level	Jobs	Employee compensation	Value added	Total industry output	Gross regional product
	<i>millions of days</i>	<i>number</i>		<i>millions of dollars</i>		
Direct impacts for 1997 output levels						
Resident						
Developed camping	2	367	7	12	24	10
Mechanized travel	42	11,666	216	402	816	334
Other	35	8,263	156	293	586	244
Trail use	28	3,594	64	122	238	99
Winter activities	1	419	6	10	20	9
Big game hunting	4	420	10	19	41	16
Small game hunting	3	198	5	9	19	8
Other game hunting	0	34	1	2	3	1
Fresh water fishing	9	921	21	41	83	34
Nonconsumptive wildlife	22	1,638	37	75	159	63
Nonresident						
Developed camping	6	2,616	48	86	175	73
Mechanized travel	68	64,374	1,110	1,940	3,875	1,670
Other	18	10,910	196	351	704	299
Trail use	27	19,415	350	609	1,203	522

Winter activities	3	2,817	44	75	141	66
Big game hunting	3	642	15	29	63	25
Small game hunting	2	408	9	19	40	16
Other game hunting	1	170	4	8	16	7
Fresh water fishing	18	3,396	78	149	307	126
Nonconsumptive wildlife	25	4,675	108	216	461	183
All direct impacts	317	136,944	2,485	4,467	8,973	3,805

Total impacts (direct+indirect+induced) for 1997 output levels

Resident

Developed camping	2	697	15	28	53	25
Mechanized travel	42	22,283	488	961	1,821	842
Other	35	15,946	353	693	1,305	607
Trail use	28	6,652	142	280	524	242
Winter activities	1	698	13	24	45	21
Big game hunting	4	908	23	46	90	40
Small game hunting	3	428	11	22	43	19
Other game hunting	0	73	2	4	7	3
Fresh water fishing	9	1,833	46	92	178	81
Nonconsumptive wildlife	22	3,472	86	175	348	154

Nonresident

Developed camping	6	4,946	108	207	392	183
Mechanized travel	68	116,623	2,439	4,621	8,629	4,103

Other	18	20,313	436	837	1,571	740
Trail use	27	35,544	763	1,436	2,677	1,273
Winter activities	3	4,804	93	170	307	153
Big game hunting	3	1,360	34	69	137	61
Small game hunting	2	865	21	44	86	38
Other game hunting	1	360	9	18	36	16
Fresh water fishing	18	6,887	171	342	665	300
Nonconsumptive wildlife	25	9,902	248	507	1,005	447
All impacts	317	254,591	5,501	10,577	19,919	9,350

[Return to first reference in text](#)

Table 8--Direct and total impacts by activity from NFS-L recreation impacts analysis, 1997

	1997 activity level	Jobs	Employee compensation	Value added	Total industry output	Gross regional product
	<i>millions of days</i>	<i>number</i>		<i>millions of dollars</i>		
Direct impacts for 1997 output levels						
Resident						
Developed camping	6	1,017	18	33	65	28
Mechanized travel	116	32,296	597	1,114	2,260	925
Other	96	22,877	433	812	1,622	675
Trail use	78	9,951	177	338	659	273
Winter activities	2	1,161	17	28	56	24
Big game hunting	11	1,163	26	53	112	44
Small game hunting	8	548	13	25	54	21
Other game hunting	1	95	2	4	9	4
Fresh water fishing	25	2,551	59	113	228	95
Nonconsumptive wildlife	61	4,533	104	207	441	175
Nonresident						
Developed camping	17	7,243	134	239	484	203
Mechanized travel	189	178,213	3,072	5,372	10,727	4,623
Other	49	30,202	543	972	1,949	829
Trail use	75	53,747	970	1,685	3,330	1,446

Winter activities	10	7,797	122	207	389	183
Big game hunting	7	1,777	41	81	174	69
Small game hunting	7	1,129	26	51	110	43
Other game hunting	2	470	11	21	46	18
Fresh water fishing	50	9,403	215	414	851	348
Nonconsumptive wildlife	68	12,943	299	597	1,275	506
All direct impacts	878	379,116	6,879	12,366	24,840	10,533

Total impacts (direct+indirect+induced) for 1997 output levels

Resident

Developed camping	4	1,929	41	78	147	69
Mechanized travel	75	61,688	1,352	2,661	5,042	2,330
Other	62	44,144	978	1,919	3,612	1,681
Trail use	51	18,414	394	776	1,451	670
Winter activities	2	1,932	36	66	124	58
Big game hunting	7	2,515	62	127	249	112
Small game hunting	5	1,185	30	61	119	53
Other game hunting	1	201	5	10	20	9
Fresh water fishing	17	5,074	127	256	492	224
Nonconsumptive wildlife	39	9,611	239	486	963	427

Nonresident

Developed camping	11	13,692	299	574	1,085	508
Mechanized travel	123	322,859	6,751	12,792	23,888	11,360

Other	32	56,234	1,207	2,316	4,350	2,049
Trail use	49	98,399	2,111	3,976	7,411	3,525
Winter activities	6	13,299	258	471	851	423
Big game hunting	5	3,766	94	191	379	168
Small game hunting	4	2,395	59	121	239	106
Other game hunting	1	996	25	50	100	44
Fresh water fishing	33	19,067	472	946	1,841	830
Nonconsumptive wildlife	45	27,414	688	1,405	2,782	1,238
All impacts	571	704,812	15,230	29,280	55,144	25,886

[Return to first reference in text](#)

Table 9--Allocation of tourism from TTSA methods to sectors, 1997

Sector name	Direct sector 1997 values from IMPLAN						Tourism allocation by sector		
	IMPLAN sector number	Employment	Employee compensation	Value added	Total industry output	Gross regional product	Percent to leisure tourism	Percent in outdoor recreation (assumes 19 percent)	Percent in outdoor recreation (assumes 33 percent)
Hotel and lodging	463	492,002	9,047	15,309	25,595	13,698	34.1	6.5	11.2
Eating and drinking places	454	2,144,731	24,807	37,248	73,953	32,195	9.7	1.9	3.2
Taxi	434	82,788	1,576	2,071	535	2,029	19.0	3.6	6.3
Bus	510	11,183	495	-540	3,190	-540	20.0	3.8	6.6
Domestic Airlines	437	309,060	14,096	20,504	35,958	18,646	34.0	6.5	11.2
Automobile rental	477	84,679	1,699	4,625	7,512	4,108	13.0	2.5	4.3
Tours and arrangements	439	66,092	1,618	2,958	4,488	2,853	20.0	3.8	6.6

Recreation and entertainment	487	27,274	484	1,159	2,227	842	20.0	3.8	6.6
	488	329,106	4,543	8,664	13,802	8,063	20.0	3.8	6.6
Participant sports	485	20,046	190	319	589	281	22.0	4.2	7.3
	489	141,076	1,933	2,354	5,383	2,252	22.0	4.2	7.3
Movie and theater	483	119,323	1,713	2,384	9,785	2,310	15.0	2.9	5.0
	484	42,399	900	1,429	3,919	1,340	15.0	2.9	5.0
Sports events	486	22,745	1,170	1,519	1,588	1,449	7.0	1.3	2.3
Gas and oil	451	713,175	18,514	32,254	41,060	25,601	6.0	1.1	2.0
Automobile repair and parking	479	274,830	5,023	10,827	23,012	9,956	1.5	0.3	0.5
Other personal consumption expenditures (nondurables)	448	292	6,285	10,492	12,556	8,458	3.0	0.6	1.0
	449	804,896	11,842	19,964	27,065	15,578	3.0	0.6	1.0
	450	1,066,937	15,484	26,351	31,119	21,308	3.0	0.6	1.0

	452	324,263	4,218	7,951	11,582	6,074	3.0	0.6	1.0
	453	310,144	6,203	10,571	13,942	8,312	3.0	0.6	1.0
	455	1,224,501	14,810	28,453	34,862	22,799	3.0	0.6	1.0
	456	478,356	18,094	53,027	72,319	51,393	3.0	0.6	1.0
Totals		9,089,898	164,743	299,893	456,042	259,005			

[Return to first reference in text](#)

Table 10--Direct effects by sector from TTSA methods recreation impact analysis, 1997

Direct effects assuming 19 percent of leisure tourism is outdoor recreation

Sector	Employment	Employee compensation	Value added	Total industry output	Gross regional product
Hotel and lodging	31,853	586	991	1,657	887
Eating and drinking places	39,723	459	690	1,370	596
Bus and taxi	6,321	140	100	260	98
Airlines	19,965	911	1,325	2,323	1,205
Automobile rental	2,092	42	114	186	101
Tours and arrangements	4,651	114	208	316	201
Recreation and entertainment	25,079	354	691	1,128	627
Participant sports	12,474	164	207	462	196
Movie and theater	8,535	138	201	723	193
Sports events	560	29	37	39	36
Gas and oil	15,056	391	681	867	540
Automobile repair and parking	1,450	27	57	121	53
Other PCE	44,432	812	1,655	2,147	1,414
Total	212,193	4,166	6,958	11,600	6,145

Direct effects assuming 33 percent of leisure tourism is outdoor recreation

Sector	Employment	Employee compensation	Value added	Total industry output	Gross regional product
Hotel and lodging	55,324	1,017	1,721	2,878	1,540
Eating and drinking places	127,765	1,478	2,219	4,405	1,918
Bus and taxi	10,979	244	174	452	170
Airlines	34,677	1,582	2,301	4,034	2,092
Automobile rental	3,633	73	198	322	176
Tours and arrangements	8,078	198	362	549	349
Recreation and entertainment	43,558	614	1,201	1,959	1,088
Participant sports	21,665	285	359	803	341
Movie and theater	14,825	240	349	1,256	335
Sports events	973	50	65	68	62
Gas and oil	26,150	679	1,183	1,506	939
Automobile repair and parking	2,519	46	99	211	91
Other PCE	77,172	1,411	2,875	3,730	2,455
Total	427,317	7,916	13,106	22,174	11,555

[Return to first reference in text](#)

Table 11--Total impacts by sector from TTSA methods recreation impact analysis, 1997

Total effects (direct+indirect+induced) assuming 19 percent of leisure tourism is outdoor recreation

Sector	Employment	Employee compensation	Value added	Total industry output	Gross regional product
Hotel and lodging	58,733	1,229	2,207	3,695	1,993
Eating and drinking places	60,277	967	1,674	3,170	1,481
Bus and taxi	11,773	285	383	775	354
Airlines	49,899	1,713	2,859	5,040	2,602
Automobile rental	4,521	104	230	388	206
Tours and arrangements	8,994	234	425	676	399
Recreation and entertainment	41,866	753	1,454	2,432	1,320
Participant sports	21,360	375	628	1,169	578
Movie and theater	21,313	431	757	1,760	703
Sports events	1,083	42	62	81	58
Gas and oil	25,297	642	1,170	1,702	983
Automobile repair and parking	3,223	73	143	275	130
Other PCE	71,033	1,407	2,918	4,038	2,684
Total	379,373	8,254	14,909	25,199	13,492

Total effects (direct+indirect+induced) assuming 33 percent of leisure tourism is outdoor recreation

Sector	Employment	Employee compensation	Value added	Total industry output	Gross regional product
Hotel and lodging	102,011	2,134	3,832	6,417	3,461
Eating and drinking places	193,875	3,109	5,386	10,195	4,762
Bus and taxi	20,448	495	665	1,346	615
Airlines	86,667	2,975	4,965	8,753	4,519
Automobile rental	7,853	180	399	674	359
Tours and arrangements	15,621	406	737	1,174	694
Recreation and entertainment	72,714	1,309	2,525	4,223	2,293
Participant sports	37,098	652	1,091	2,030	1,004
Movie and theater	37,017	748	1,315	3,057	1,222
Sports events	1,881	72	108	140	101
Gas and oil	43,937	1,115	2,033	2,957	1,708
Automobile repair and parking	5,598	126	248	478	226
Other PCE	123,374	2,444	5,068	7,013	4,663
Total	748,094	15,765	28,372	48,456	25,624

[Return to first reference in text](#)

Table 12--Allocation of tourism from TIA methods to States, 1997

State	Tourism allocation by State					Totals for 1997 tourism from TIA		
	Percent to leisure tourism	Percent forest	Percent to leisure tourism-forest adjusted	Percent of tourism in outdoor recreation--19%	Percent of tourism in outdoor recreation--33%	Employment	Payroll	Travel expenditures
						<i>jobs</i>	<i>millions of dollars</i>	
Alabama	43.0	67.2	49.0	9.3	16.2	72,000	976	4,180
Arkansas	43.0	56.3	41.0	7.8	13.5	55,700	714	3,337
Florida	43.0	46.7	34.0	6.5	11.2	794,000	14,235	52,135
Georgia	43.0	65.5	47.7	9.1	15.7	231,900	5,419	12,637
Kentucky	43.0	49.8	36.3	6.9	12.0	99,700	1,967	4,734
Louisiana	43.0	47.8	34.8	6.6	11.5	113,200	1,689	7,328
Mississippi	43.0	61.5	44.8	8.5	14.8	74,000	1,177	3,806
North Carolina	43.0	61.4	44.7	8.5	14.8	192,400	3,424	10,731
Oklahoma	43.0	17.4	12.7	2.4	4.2	68,100	1,313	3,505
South Carolina	43.0	65.3	47.6	9.0	15.7	112,900	1,571	6,546
Tennessee	43.0	53.9	39.3	7.5	13.0	167,400	3,621	8,985
Texas	43.0	10.9	8.0	1.5	2.6	514,100	10,578	29,247
Virginia	43.0	62.9	45.8	8.7	15.1	201,800	3,528	11,627

[Return to first reference in text](#)

[Return to second reference in text](#)

Table 13--Direct and total impacts from TIA methods of recreation impact analysis, 1997

State	Percent of tourism in outdoor recreation					
	19 percent			33 percent		
	Employment	Payroll	Expenditures	Employment	Payroll	Expenditures
	<i>jobs</i>	<i>millions of dollars</i>		<i>jobs</i>	<i>millions of dollars</i>	
Direct						
Alabama	12,410	168	720	21,555	292	1,251
Arkansas	8,036	103	481	13,957	179	836
Florida	95,121	1,705	6,246	165,211	2,962	10,848
Georgia	38,926	910	2,121	67,609	1,580	3,684
Kentucky	12,726	251	604	22,103	436	1,049
Louisiana	13,869	207	898	24,088	359	1,559
Mississippi	11,675	186	600	20,278	323	1,043
North Carolina	30,288	539	1,689	52,606	936	2,934
Oklahoma	3,036	59	156	5,273	102	271
South Carolina	18,897	263	1,096	32,822	457	1,903
Tennessee	23,136	501	1,242	40,183	869	2,157
Texas	14,384	296	818	24,983	514	1,421
Virginia	32,529	569	1,874	56,498	988	3,255
South	315,034	5,755	18,547	547,165	9,996	32,213
Total (direct+indirect+included)						
Alabama	25,791	511	1,715	44,795	888	2,978
Arkansas	17,236	342	1,146	29,937	594	1,990

Florida	223,601	4,435	14,865	388,360	7,702	25,818
Georgia	75,942	1,506	5,049	131,899	2,616	8,769
Kentucky	21,632	429	1,438	37,571	745	2,498
Louisiana	32,141	637	2,137	55,824	1,107	3,711
Mississippi	21,498	426	1,429	37,338	741	2,482
North Carolina	60,476	1,199	4,020	105,037	2,083	6,983
Oklahoma	5,595	111	372	9,717	193	646
South Carolina	39,225	778	2,608	68,128	1,351	4,529
Tennessee	44,456	882	2,955	77,213	1,531	5,133
Texas	29,295	581	1,948	50,882	1,009	3,383
Virginia	67,097	1,331	4,461	116,537	2,311	7,747
South	663,984	13,168	44,142	1,153,236	22,871	76,668

[Return to first reference in text](#)

Table 14--Comparison of NFS, TTSA and TIA recreation impacts, 1997

Method	Visitor days	Employment	Employee compensation	Value added	Total industry output	Gross regional product
	<i>millions</i>	<i>Number of jobs</i>		<i>millions of dollars</i>		
Direct impacts						
NFS-participation	317	136,944	2,485	4,467	8,973	3,805
NFS-land	878	379,116	6,879	12,366	24,840	10,533
TTSA-19	492	212,193	4,166	6,958	11,600	6,145
TTSA-33	990	427,317	7,916	13,106	22,174	11,555
TIA-19 ^a	730	315,034	5,755	6,890	18,547	20,360
TIA-33 ^a	1,268	547,165	9,996	11,968	32,213	35,361
Percent of southern economy						
NFS-participation	317	0.34	0.23	0.24	0.27	0.22
NFS-land	878	0.95	0.63	0.66	0.74	0.61
TTSA-19	492	0.53	0.38	0.37	0.35	0.35
TTSA-33	990	1.07	0.72	0.70	0.66	0.67
TIA-19 ^a	730	0.79	0.53	0.63	0.55	0.61

TIA-33 ^a	1,268	1.37	0.91	1.09	0.96	1.05
Total impacts (direct+indirect+induced)						
NFS-participation	317	254,591	5,501	10,577	19,919	9,350
NFS-land	878	704,812	15,230	29,280	55,144	25,886
TTSA-19	492	379,373	8,254	14,909	25,199	13,492
TTSA-33	990	748,094	15,765	28,372	48,456	25,624
TIA-19 ^a	730	663,984	13,168	15,765	44,142	48,456
TIA-33 ^a	1,268	1,153,236	22,871	27,382	76,668	84,160
Percent of southern economy						
NFS-participation	317	0.64	0.50	0.56	0.59	0.54
NFS-land	878	1.76	1.39	1.55	1.64	1.49
TTSA-19	492	0.95	0.75	0.79	0.75	0.78
TTSA-33	990	1.87	1.44	1.50	1.44	1.48
TIA-19 ^a	730	1.66	1.20	1.44	1.32	1.44
TIA-33 ^a	1,268	2.88	2.09	2.50	2.29	2.51

^a estimates were made for value added and gross regional product for the tia methods using the relationship between TTSA employee compensation and value added and between total industry output and gross regional product.

[Return to first reference in text](#)

Table 15--National Forest visitation, acres and visits per acre for all regions, 2000

Region	Acres	Visits	Visits per acre
	<i>millions</i>	<i>millions</i>	
Northern	25.4	12.4	0.49
Rocky Mountain	22.1	38.6	1.75
Southwest	20.8	17.3	0.83
Intermountain	32.0	20.5	0.64
Pacific Southwest	20.1	20.2	1.00
Pacific Northwest	24.7	34.0	1.38
Southern	13.2	24.9	1.89
Eastern	12.0	34.2	2.85
Alaska	22.0	7.0	0.32
US	192.3	209.1	1.09

Source: National Visitor Use Monitoring Report, USDA Forest Service, 2001

Source: Lands of the USDA Forest Service, 2001

[Return to first reference in text](#)

Table 16--Income, value added, total industry output and GRP per job for wood products and recreation sectors and southwide, 1997

Direct effects per job				
	Employee comp./job	Value added /job	Total industry output/job	GRP/job
<i>Million dollars</i>				
Aggregate wood products sector				
Timber	4,691	70,137	130,154	59,659
Logging	23,935	59,674	160,203	58,728
Sawmills	27,308	41,942	119,609	41,245
Wood furniture	26,748	34,249	96,385	33,721
Pulp and Paper	52,632	85,279	263,083	82,519
Wood products average	32,402	53,614	153,538	51,910
Recreation method				
NFS based	21,608	41,543	78,240	36,727
TTSA	19,633	32,793	54,666	28,960
TIA	18,223	na	58,471	na
Recreation method average	19,821	37,168	63,792	32,844
Southwide average	27,370	47,147	83,866	43,412

Source: IMPLAN 1997

[Return to first reference in text](#)[Return to second reference in text](#)

Table 17--Revenue sources by State for forestry activities in 1998

	Government sources			Revenue				
State	Federal	State	Other	Sales	Permits	Service charges	Other	Total
thousands of dollars								
Alabama	2,973	11,968	2,233	1,668	2	229	6,450	25,523
Arkansas	1,195	5,915	5,959	1,657		76	96	14,898
Florida	2,811	46,300	700	4,700	1,500			56,011
Georgia	2,443	34,612	1,366	2,900		664	16	42,001
Kentucky	1,421	8,462		370			482	10,735
Louisiana	1,180	9,225	761	1,962		554		13,682
Mississippi	130	19,800	5,300			350		25,580
North Carolina	3,279	33,027	5,219	1,929		1,246	5,351	50,051
Oklahoma	1,268	9,120	88	709		12	38	11,235
South Carolina	2,377	16,842		2,406	22	161	1,580	23,388
Tennessee	1,548	14,701	160	1,926		30	64	18,428
Texas	2,118	11,373		1,097			1,430	16,018
Virginia	1,993	12,309		2,334	34	353	4,872	21,895
Total	22,743	233,654	21,786	23,659	1,558	3,322	15,507	307,551

[Return to first reference in text](#)

Table 18--Expenditures by State for forestry activities in 1998

State	Cooperative forestry and landowner assistance			Urban and community forestry			
	State programs	Forest Service	FSA /NRCS	State program	Federal program	Fire mgmt.	State forest mgmt.
<i>thousands of dollars</i>							
Alabama	1,570	4,298	977		697	9,581	355
Arkansas		3,491	472		262	6,555	329
Florida	1,140	625	1,000		506	40,446	7,815
Georgia		1,242			909	31,787	461
Kentucky	2,161		21		651	5,659	50
Total	1,884	318	282		308	7,540	44
Mississippi	10,300	100	300		300	14,300	2,600
North Carolina	12,589	123			324	25,846	456
Oklahoma	42	612	53	139	428	8,719	
South Carolina	846	46	94	165	320	13,755	3,648
Tennessee	1,286	120	73	61	365	10,053	1,949
Texas	5,117	692	450	839	589		50
Virginia	14,908	106	15		557	3,383	685
Total	51,842	11,773	3,737	1,204	6,216	177,623	18,442
	Marketing	Insect and disease	RC&D (PL-566)	Nursery	Forest recreation	Other	Total
Alabama	199	1,994		2,420		3,432	25,523

Arkansas	5	195	46	1,109		1,606	14,070
Florida	1	150	586	842	1,400	1,500	56,011
Georgia	506	330	35	2,059		4,672	42,001
Kentucky	307	110	106	1,132		184	10,380
Louisiana		214		1,962	290	840	13,682
Mississippi		200		1,500		300	29,900
North Carolina		2,081		1,970		6,660	50,049
Oklahoma	1	52	18	997		174	11,235
South Carolina		616	28	1,638		2,229	23,385
Tennessee	133	261		1,291		2,795	18,387
Texas	522	1,139		823		346	10,567
Virginia	145	493	450	1,553			22,295
Total	1,819	7,835	1,269	19,296	1,690	24,738	327,485

[Return to first reference in text](#)

Table 19--Revenues and expenditures by State for state park management

State	State park acres (1000s)	Percent of state area in parks	Total visitors (1000s)	User fee revenues (\$1,000)	Operating budget (\$1,000)	Capital budget (\$1,000)	Total budget (\$1,000)
Alabama	50	0.20%	6,198	23,912	28,547	84	28,631
Arkansas	48	0.10%	7,257	12,661	21,012	2,323	23,335
Florida	428	1.20%	11,416	19,196	43,858	14,100	57,958
Georgia	57	0.20%	15,637	18,475	37,832	4,525	42,357
Kentucky	43	0.20%	28,396	40,800	57,672	10,906	68,578
Louisiana	39	0.10%	1,221	2,141	6,511	2,675	9,186
Mississippi	22	0.10%	3,913	5,196	11,909	1,562	13,471
North Carolina	135	0.40%	11,830	2,238	11,956	2,839	14,795
Oklahoma	72	0.20%	16,049	17,240	27,664	1,349	29,013
South Carolina	80	0.40%	8,189	12,034	19,919	3,871	23,790
Tennessee	133	0.50%	28,701	21,033	36,216	0	36,216
Texas	499	0.30%	25,368	15,178	36,093	10,289	46,382
Virginia	67	0.30%	3,779	2,350	11,122	5,767	16,889
Total	11,610		725,500	504,594	1,143,593	332,239	1475832
Percent in the South	14.41		23.15	38.14	30.63	18.15	27.82

[Return to first reference in text](#)

[Return to second reference in text](#)

Table 20--Acres managed by Federal agency by State, 1999

State	Acres managed by agency								Federally designated wilderness acres				Miles of wild, scenic, and recreational rivers			
	Total Acreage in State	Acreage of Federally Owned Land in State	Percentage of Federally Owned Land	USDA Forest Service	National Park Service	Fish and Wildlife Service	Bureau of Land Management	Total Acreage	USDA Forest Service	National Park Service	Fish and Wildlife Service	Bureau of Land Management	Wild	Scenic	Recreational	Total
AL	32,678,400	1,109,546	3.4	665,026	16,873	57,866	110,963	41,367	41,367	0	0	0	36.4	25.0	0.0	61.4
AR	33,399,360	3,259,660	9.8	2,586,074	101,456	345,745	291,126	153,655	116,578	34,993	2,144	0	21.5	147.7	40.8	210.0
FL	34,721,280	2,889,080	8.3	1,152,824	2,443,323	976,080	25,277	1,422,247	74,495	1,296,500	51,252	0	32.7	7.9	8.6	49.1
GA	37,295,360	2,080,239	5.6	865,078	40,335	479,241	0	485,484	114,537	8,840	362,107	0	39.8	2.5	14.6	56.9
KY	25,512,320	1,235,647	4.8	693,746	93,941	7,487	0	16,779	16,779	0	0	0	9.1	0.0	10.3	19.4
LA	28,867,840	1,284,689	4.5	604,210	10,731	510,615	309,611	17,025	8,679	0	8,346	0	0.0	19.0	0.0	19.0
MS	30,222,720	1,774,075	5.9	1,158,967	107,866	223,634	57,171	10,683	6,046	4,637	0	0	0.0	21.0	0.0	21.0
NC	31,402,880	2,508,402	8.0	1,244,295	393,095	421,080	0	111,419	102,634	0	8,785	0	44.4	95.5	52.0	191.9
OK	44,087,680	1,280,559	2.9	397,131	10,200	167,682	2,126	23,113	14,543	0	8,570	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SC	19,374,080	1,188,350	6.1	613,171	27,152	160,490	0	60,681	16,671	15,010	29,000	0	39.8	2.5	14.6	56.9
TN	26,727,680	1,643,374	6.1	634,523	355,354	114,517	0	66,349	66,349	0	0	0	44.3	0.0	1.0	45.2

TX	168,217,600	2,804,397	1.7	755,104	1,183,095	496,916	0	85,333	38,483	46,850	0	0	95.2	96.0	0.0	191.2
VA	25,496,320	2,299,111	9.0	1,660,428	333,422	129,721	0	177,214	97,635	79,579	0	0				0.0
South	538,003,520	25,357,129	4.7	13,030,577	5,116,843	4,091,074	796,274	2,671,349	714,796	1,486,409	470,204	0	363	417	142	922
U.S. Total	2,271,343,360	654,885,389	28.8	192,046,672	77,937,494	93,628,302	264,174,745	104,231,201	34,777,793	43,229,874	20,694,502	5,529,032	5,345.0	2,445.7	3,501.4	11,292.1

[Return to first reference in text](#)

Source: Congressional Research Service, 2001



Figure 1--Percent of U.S. employment and wages in the South.[Return to first reference in text](#)[Return to second reference in text](#)

Figure 2--Average annual rate of growth in jobs in the South and the United States between 1969 and 1998.




[Return to first reference in text](#)

Figure 3--Average annual rate of growth in jobs and wages by State between 1969 and 1998.




[Return to first reference in text](#)

Figure 4--Poverty rates in the United States and the South, 1969 to 1999.




[Return to first reference in text](#)

Figure 5--Poverty rate in the South by race and gender, 1995.




[Return to first reference in text](#)

Figure 6--Unemployment rate in the South between 1978 and 1998.



[Return to first reference in text](#)

Figure 7--Percentage of U.S. manufacturing and wood products jobs in the South, 1987 to 1997.



[Return to first reference in text](#)




Figure 8--Percentage of all jobs in wood products sectors, 1969 to 1999.[Return to first reference in text](#)

Figure 9--Percentage of all wages in wood products sectors, 1969 to 1998.

[Return to first reference in text](#)


Figure 10--Percentage of all jobs in recreation/tourism sectors, 1969 to 1999.



[Return to first reference in text](#)

1970 2.841 3.350 6.572 3.379 3.557 3.849 2.642 2.780 4.089 3.853 2.848 3.312 4.172

Figure 11--Percentage of all wages by State in recreation/tourism sectors, 1969 to 1998.



[Return to first reference in text](#)

